arXiv:1702.03512v1 [math-ph] 12 Feb 2017

Stochastic averaging principle for spatial birth-and-death evolutions in the continuum

Martin Friesen*
Yuri Kondratiev†

February 14, 2017

We study a spatial birth-and-death process on the phase space of locally finite configurations Γ^+ over $\mathbb{R}^d$. Its time evolution is given by an non-equilibrium evolution of states associated with the operator $L^+(\gamma^-)$ where γ^- indicates that the corresponding birth-and-death rates depend on another locally finite configuration $\gamma^- \in \Gamma^-$. Such configuration describes the influence of a random environment given by an ergodic evolution of states with invariant measure μ_{inv} on Γ^- and birth-and-death Markov operator L^-. Let μ_t^ε be the evolution of states on $\Gamma^+ \times \Gamma^-$ corresponding to the scaled Markov operator $L_\varepsilon = L^+(\gamma^-) + \varepsilon^{-1}L^-$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. We show that the marginal of μ_t^ε onto Γ^+ converges weakly to an evolution of states on Γ^+ associated with the averaged Markov birth-and-death operator $\overline{L} = \int\limits_{\Gamma^-} L^+(\gamma^-) d\mu_{\text{inv}}(\gamma^-)$.

AMS Subject Classification: 37L40; 37L55; 47D06; 82C22
Keywords: spatial birth-and-death processes; Fokker-Planck equation; ergodicity; averaging; Random evolution

1 Introduction

Many physical, ecological and biological phenomena can be modelled by spatial birth-and-death processes. It is assumed that particles are located in a continuous space, say $\mathbb{R}^d$, are identical by properties, indistinguishable and, randomly appear or disappear in the location space. Particular examples can be found in [BCF$^+$14], [FFH$^+$15],[FM04],[Kol06],[SEW05], see also the references therein.

Denote by Γ the configuration space consisting of all locally finite subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$. It is well-known that Γ is a Polish space w.r.t. the smallest topology such that $\gamma \longmapsto \sum_{x\in\gamma} f(x)$ is continuous for any continuous function f having compact support (see [KK06]). A measurable function $F : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called observable whereas a probability measure μ is called state. Particles, in the framework of spatial birth-and-death processes, may randomly disappear and

*Department of Mathematics, Wuppertal University, Germany, friesen@math.uni-wuppertal.de
†Department of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, Germany, kondrat@math.uni-bielefeld.de

new particles may appear in the configuration $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Death of a particle $x \in \gamma$ is described by the death rate $d(x,\gamma) \geq 0$. Similarly, $b(x,\gamma) \geq 0$ describes the birth rate and distribution of a new particle $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \gamma$. Let L be the corresponding birth-and-death Markov operator which acts on a suitable class of observables $F : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The case of constant death rates was considered in [GK06],[KS06] where the corresponding Markov process $(\gamma_t)_{t\geq 0} \subset \Gamma$ was constructed. The extension to non-constant death rates remains an open, highly challenging mathematical problem within the theory of stochastic point processes. Its difficulty comes from the necessity to control the number of particles in any bounded volume. The time marginals $\mu_t = \mathbb{P}^{\gamma_0} \circ \gamma_t^{-1}$ of such a process, provided it exists, solve the (forward) Kolmogorov equation, in the physical literature also called Fokker-Planck equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Gamma F(\gamma) d\mu_t(\gamma) = \int_\Gamma LF(\gamma) d\mu_t(\gamma), \quad \mu_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where F belongs to a suitable class of observables. In contrast to the classical theory of Markov processes, we study statistical dynamics, i.e. solutions to the Fokker-Planck equation. For this purpose it is natural to restrict the class of admissible states in (1.1). One, usually, considers the class of states $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ such that its associated correlation functions $k_{\mu_t} = (k_{\mu_t}^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ exist and satisfy the Ruelle bound

$$k_{\mu_t}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq A e^{\alpha n}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

for some $A = A(k_{\mu_0}) > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [KKM08]). The evolution of correlation functions is then a (weak) solution to a Markov analogue of the BBGKY hierarchy

$$\frac{\partial k_t}{\partial t} = L^\Delta k_t, \quad k_t|_{t=0} = k_0. \tag{1.3}$$

The operator L^Δ is related through a combinatorial relation with L and can be computed explicitly (see [FKO09]). Classical solutions to this hierarchy have been obtained in the one-component case (Markov operator on Γ) in [FKK12],[FKK15]. Solutions to (1.3), however, do not need to determine uniquely an evolution of states. It is necessary and sufficient to show that a solution k_t to (1.3) is positive definite in the sense of Lenard. In such a case there exists a unique state $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ such that $k_t = k_{\mu_t}$ (see [Len73],[Len75]). This scheme was implemented for several particular examples (see, e.g., [BKK15],[FK16b], [KK16] and in the references therein).

In this work we consider the case of two-component spatial birth-and-death processes on the configuration space $\Gamma^2 := \Gamma^+ \times \Gamma^-$, where $\Gamma^\pm$ are two identical copies of Γ as introduced above. It is equipped with the product topology. The first component Γ^+ describes the system interacting with an ergodic non-equilibrium environment, which is a Markov process on the second component Γ^-. For simplicity of notation, we let $\gamma = (\gamma^+, \gamma^-)$ and write $\gamma^\pm \cup x, \gamma^\pm \backslash x$ instead of $\gamma^\pm \cup \{x\}$, $\gamma^\pm \backslash \{x\}$ respectively.

Environment

The non-equilibrium environment is assumed to be given by a Markov operator L^- with death rate $d^-(x,\gamma^-)$ and birth rate $b^-(x,\gamma^-)$, that is by

$$(L^-F)(\gamma^-) = \sum_{x\in\gamma^-} d^-(x,\gamma^-\backslash x)(F(\gamma^-\backslash x) - F(\gamma^-)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^-(x,\gamma^-)(F(\gamma^-\cup x) - F(\gamma^-))dx \tag{1.4}$$

for a suitable collection of observables $F : \Gamma^- \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We assume that the non-equilibrium evolution of states exists for the isolated environment. Namely, for each initial state μ_0^- having correlation function $k_{\mu_0^-}$ satisfying some Ruelle bound, there exists a unique weak solution $(\mu_t^-)_{t\geq 0}$ to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Gamma^-} F(\gamma^-)d\mu_t^-(\gamma^-) = \int_{\Gamma^-} L^-F(\gamma^-)d\mu_t^-(\gamma^-), \quad \mu_t^-|_{t=0} = \mu_0^- \tag{1.5}$$

having correlation function $k_{\mu_t^-}$ satisfying the same Ruelle bound. Such problem has been analysed by semigroup methods in [FK16b]. We will assume that the conditions given in the latter work are satisfied.

In order to prove that the evolution of states is ergodic we suppose that

$$\inf\left\{\sum_{x\in\eta^-} d^-(x,\eta^-\backslash x)\,\middle|\, 1\leq|\eta^-|<\infty\right\} > 0,$$

where $|\eta^-| := \sum_{x\in\eta^-} 1$ denotes the number of particles in the configuration $\eta^- \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Similar methods to [Fri17] show that the corresponding evolution of states is ergodic with exponential rate. Let μ_{inv} be the corresponding invariant measure and let $k_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}$ be the correlation function for μ_{inv}. Ergodicity is, in such a case, expressed by

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \|k^{(n)}_{\mu_t^-} - k^{(n)}_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}\|_{L^\infty} e^{-\alpha n} \leq Ae^{-\lambda_0 t} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \|k^{(n)}_{\mu_0^-} - k^{(n)}_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}\|_{L^\infty} e^{-\alpha n}, \quad t\geq 0, \tag{1.6}$$

for some constants $A, \lambda_0 > 0$ independent of $(k_{\mu_t})_{t\geq 0}$ (see (1.2)).

System

Dynamics for the system is described by the birth-and-death rates $d^+(x,\gamma)$ and $d^+(x,\gamma)$ where the additional dependence on the parameter γ^- takes interactions of the system with its environment into account. Particular examples of additive and multiplicative rates are discussed in the last section. Let $L^+(\gamma^-) = L^+$ with

$$\begin{aligned}(L^+F)(\gamma) = &\sum_{x\in\eta^+} d^+(x,\gamma^+\backslash x,\gamma^-)(F(\gamma^+,\gamma^-\backslash x) - F(\gamma^+,\gamma^-)) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^-(x,\gamma^+,\gamma^-)(F(\gamma^+,\gamma^-\cup x) - F(\gamma^+,\gamma^-))dx\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

be the corresponding birth-and-death Markov operator acting on a suitable class of observables. The joint dynamics for the system and environment is described by solutions to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Gamma^2} F(\gamma)\mu_t(d\gamma) = \int\limits_{\Gamma^2} LF(\gamma)\mu_t(d\gamma), \quad \mu_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.8}$$

with $L = L^+(\gamma^-) + L^-$. Here L^- is extended to observables $F : \Gamma^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by its action only on the variable γ^-. It follows from [FK16b], given some natural conditions, that for each initial state μ_0 having correlation function k_{μ_0} there exists a unique weak solution to (1.8) such that its associated evolution of correlation functions k_{μ_t} exists and satisfies the same Ruelle bound as k_{μ_0}.

Since in applications we only can observe statistical properties of the system, we consider the time evolution of the reduced dynamics $\mu_t^+(d\gamma^+)$ on Γ^+, where μ_t^+ is defined as the marginal onto its first component

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma^+} F(\gamma^+)d\mu_t^+(\gamma^+) = \int\limits_{\Gamma^2} F(\gamma^+)d\mu_t(\gamma^+,\gamma^-). \tag{1.9}$$

Then μ_t^+ satisfies due to $L^-1 = 1$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Gamma^+} F(\gamma^+)d\mu_t^+(\gamma^+) = \int\limits_{\Gamma^2} (L^+(\gamma^-)F)(\gamma^+)d\mu_t(\gamma^+,\gamma^-), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The stochastic averaging principle is related with a certain scaling such that the Markov property can be reconstructed in the limit. Such scheme is in the physical literature also known as Markovian limits [Spo88]. The limiting equation is typically a linear equation with averaged coefficients w.r.t. the invariant measure of the environment. Such limits are also well-developed in the framework of stochastic differential equations, cf. [EK86],[Kur92],[Pin91],[SHS02]. The environments in the latter works are typically relatively simple processes and the system consists of finitely many particles interacting with the environment.

Working with infinite particle systems we cannot directly apply the general scheme of the stochastic averaging principle. An alternative approach using the theory of semigroups and an abstract averaging principle [Kur73] is described below. This approach extends the results obtained in [FK16a] where only a finite system in the presence of an equilibrium, ergodic environment has been considered.

Statement of result

Consider the scaled Markov operator $L_\varepsilon = L^+(\gamma^-) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}L^-$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, and fix an initial state $d\mu_0(\gamma^+,\gamma^-)$ on Γ^2. We construct, by [FK16b], the unique weak solution to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Gamma^2} F(\gamma)d\mu_t^\varepsilon(\gamma) = \int\limits_{\Gamma^2} \left(L^+ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}L^-\right) F(\gamma)d\mu_t^\varepsilon(\gamma), \quad \mu_t^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \mu_0 \tag{1.10}$$

in the class of states for which their associated correlation functions $k_{\mu_t^\varepsilon} = (k_{\mu_t^\varepsilon}^{(n,m)})_{n,m=0}^\infty$ satisfy a two-component analogue of (1.2). We show the convergence

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma^2} F(\gamma^+) d\mu_t^\varepsilon(\gamma^+,\gamma^-) \longrightarrow \int\limits_{\Gamma^+} F(\gamma^+) d\overline{\mu}_t(\gamma^+), \quad \varepsilon \to 0 \tag{1.11}$$

uniformly on compacts in $t \geq 0$ which is the particular realisation of the stochastic averaging principle. Here $\overline{\mu}_t$ is the unique weak solution to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int\limits_{\Gamma^+} F(\gamma^+) d\overline{\mu}_t(\gamma^+) = \int\limits_{\Gamma^+} \overline{L} F(\gamma^+) d\overline{\mu}_t(\gamma^+), \quad \overline{\mu}_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0^+ \tag{1.12}$$

with averaged Markov operator for the system

$$(\overline{L}F)(\gamma^+) = \sum_{x \in \gamma^+} \overline{d}(x, \gamma^+ \backslash x)(F(\gamma^+ \backslash x) - F(\gamma^+)) + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{b}(x, \gamma^+)(F(\gamma^+ \cup x) - F(\gamma^+))dx \tag{1.13}$$

and averaged birth-and-death rates given for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma^+ \in \Gamma^+$ by

$$\overline{d}(x, \gamma^+ \backslash x) := \int\limits_{\Gamma} d^+(x, \gamma^+ \backslash x, \gamma^-) d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-), \tag{1.14}$$

$$\overline{b}(x, \gamma^+) := \int\limits_{\Gamma} b^+(x, \gamma^+, \gamma^-) d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-). \tag{1.15}$$

Solutions to (1.10) and (1.12) are studied in terms of solutions to the associated BBGKY hierarchies (1.3). For this purpose we construct a semigroup associated with the pre-dual Cauchy problem for (1.3) and prove a version of the averaging principle for this semigroup. The averaging principle, for the evolution of correlation functions, then follows by duality for the adjoint semigroup. Finally, such convergence is used to prove (1.11).

This work is organised as follows. Preliminaries and notation is introduced in the second section. The main result, as described above, is formulated in Theorem 3.14 and proved in the third section. For this purpose we extend the ergodicity statement [Fri17] to the case of general birth-and-death Markov evolutions with formal generator L^-. Details of this extension are given in the appendix. Several examples are given in the last section.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Space of finite configurations

Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\eta| < \infty\} = \bigsqcup\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_0^{(n)}$ be the space of finite configurations, where $\Gamma_0^{(n)} = \{\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\eta| = n\}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\Gamma_0^{(0)} = \{\varnothing\}$. Here $|A|$ denotes the number of particles in a set

$A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\widetilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n}$ consist of all $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $x_j \neq x_k$ for $j \neq k$. It is equipped with the subspace topology from $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$. The symmetrisation

$$\mathrm{sym}_n : \widetilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \longrightarrow \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \longmapsto \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}, \quad n \geq 1$$

is a bijection. A set $O \subset \Gamma_0^{(n)}$ is regarded to be open, if $\mathrm{sym}_n^{-1}(O)$ is open in $\widetilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n}$, i.e. Γ_0 is equipped with the topology of disjoint unions. Let $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0)$ be its Borel-σ-algebra.

Let $m^{\otimes n}$ be the Lebesgue measure on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$. Then $m^{\otimes n}((\mathbb{R}^d)^n \backslash \widetilde{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n}) = 0$. The Lebesgue-Poisson measure λ on Γ_0 is defined by

$$\lambda = \delta_\varnothing + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} m^{(n)},$$

where $m^{(n)} = m^{\otimes n} \circ \mathrm{sym}_n^{-1}$. For any measurable function $G : \Gamma_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the relation

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} G(\xi, \eta \backslash \xi) d\lambda(\xi) = \int_{\Gamma_0} \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\xi, \eta) d\lambda(\xi) d\lambda(\eta)$$

holds, provided one side of the equality is finite for $|G|$, cf. [Fri17]. For a measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we let $e_\lambda(f; \eta) := \prod_{x \in \eta} f(x)$. For any integrable function we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} e_\lambda(f; \eta) d\lambda(\eta) = \exp\left(\langle f \rangle\right),$$

where $\langle f \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx$ denotes the mean of f. Let $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ be the space of all bounded measurable functions such that for any $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ there exists a compact $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $G(\eta) = 0$, whenever $\eta \cap \Lambda^c \neq \varnothing$ or $|\eta| > N$.

Below we consider the extension for two particles of different types. Let $\Gamma_0^2 := \Gamma_0^+ \times \Gamma_0^-$ be the product space equipped with the product topology and associated Borel-σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma_0^2)$. Let $\lambda^+ \otimes \lambda^-$ be the Lebesgue-Poisson measure on Γ_0^2. Then, by [Fin11],

$$\lambda^+ \otimes \lambda^- \left(\left\{ (\eta^+, \eta^-) \in \Gamma_0^+ \times \Gamma_0^- \;\middle|\; \eta^+ \cap \eta^- \neq \varnothing \right\} \right) = 0,$$

i.e. the event that particles of different types can be found at the same position may be neglected. For simplicity of notation, we set $\eta := (\eta^+, \eta^-)$, $|\eta| := |\eta^+| + |\eta^-|$ and let λ stand for $\lambda^+ \otimes \lambda^-$. A set $M \subset \Gamma_0^2$ is called bounded if there exists a compact $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \subset \{\eta \in \Lambda \times \Lambda \mid |\eta| \leq N\}$ holds. Let $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2)$ be the space of bounded functions having bounded support on Γ_0^2.

2.2 Harmonic analysis on configuration spaces

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and

$$\Gamma(X) = \{\gamma \subset X \mid |\gamma \cap \Lambda| < \infty \text{ for all compacts } \Lambda \subset X\}.$$

General results concerning Harmonic analysis on $\Gamma(X)$ and $\Gamma_0(X)$ (defined analogously with $\mathbb{R}^d$ replaced by X) can be found in [KK02]. Here we consider the two-component case which corresponds to $X = \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0,1\}$, where $(x,0)$ is identified with a particle of type $+$ and $(x,1)$ with a particle of type $-$. Then

$$\Gamma^2 \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0,1\}), \quad (\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \longmapsto \{(x,0) |\ x \in \gamma^+\} \cup \{(x,1) \mid x \in \gamma^-\} \tag{2.1}$$

is a bijection. Hence any function $F : \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0,1\}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be identified with a function $\widetilde{F} : \Gamma^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, any function $G : \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \{0,1\}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be identified with a function $\widetilde{G} : \Gamma_0^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Most of the results given below are due to [KK02] obtained by above identification.

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the Poisson measure π_{e^α} on $\Gamma^\pm$ is defined as the unique probability measure having the Laplace transform

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma^\pm} e^{\sum\limits_{x \in \gamma^\pm} f(x)} \, d\pi_{e^\alpha}(\gamma^\pm) = \exp\left(e^\alpha \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{f(x)} - 1)\, dx \right),$$

see [KK02]. By [Fin11] it follows that $\pi_{e^\alpha} \otimes \pi_{e^\beta}(\widetilde{\Gamma}^2) = 1$ where

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}^2 := \left\{ (\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \in \Gamma^+ \times \Gamma^- \;\middle|\; \gamma^+ \cap \gamma^- = \varnothing \right\},$$

For simplicity of notation we write $\gamma := (\gamma^+, \gamma^-)$ and use the notation $\eta \subset \gamma$ and $\gamma \backslash \eta$ by which we mean that $\eta^+ \subset \gamma^+, \eta^- \subset \gamma^-$ and $\gamma^+ \backslash \eta^+$, $\gamma^- \backslash \eta^-$. Below we describe the class of measures for which the Fokker-Planck equation will be solved.

Here and in the following Λ denotes a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let

$$p_\Lambda(\gamma) = \gamma \cap \Lambda := (\gamma^+ \cap \Lambda, \gamma^- \cap \Lambda).$$

A probability measure μ on Γ^2 is said to be locally absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Poisson measure if $\mu^\Lambda := \mu \circ p_\Lambda^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. $\pi_{e^\alpha} \otimes \pi_{e^\beta} \circ p_\Lambda^{-1}$. Note that this definition is independent of the particular choice of α and β. Any such measure μ satisfies $\mu(\widetilde{\Gamma}^2) = 1$, see [Fin13]. It has finite local moments if for each Λ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma^2} |\gamma^+ \cap \Lambda|^n |\gamma^- \cap \Lambda|^n d\mu(\gamma) < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the collection of all probability measures being locally absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Poisson measure and having finite local moments.

The K-transform is, for $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2)$, defined by

$$(\mathbb{K}G)(\gamma) := \sum_{\eta \Subset \gamma} G(\eta), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^2,$$

where $\Subset$ means that the sum runs over all finite subsets η of γ. It has inverse

$$(\mathbb{K}^{-1}F)(\eta) = \sum_{\xi \subset \eta} (-1)^{|\eta \backslash \xi|} F(\xi).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{FP}(\Gamma^2) := \mathbb{K}B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2)$ the space of all polynomially bounded cylinder functions. For each $F \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma^2)$ there exists a compact $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $A > 0$ such that $F(\gamma) = F(\gamma^+ \cap \Lambda, \gamma^- \cap \Lambda)$ and

$$|F(\gamma)| \leq A(1 + |\gamma^+ \cap \Lambda| + |\gamma^- \cap \Lambda|)^N.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{K}_0$ the restriction $\mathbb{K}F|_{\Gamma_0^2}$ and by $\mathbb{K}_0^{-1}$ its inverse transformation. It can be shown (see e.g. [Fin13]) that for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a unique function k_μ is, the so-called correlation function, such that

$$\int_{\Gamma^2} \mathbb{K}G(\gamma)d\mu(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_0^2} G(\eta)k_\mu(\eta)d\lambda(\eta), \quad G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2).$$

This function satisfies $k_\mu(\varnothing, \varnothing) = 1$ and is positive definite, i.e. for all $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2)$ with $\mathbb{K}G \geq 0$ one has

$$\langle G, k\rangle := \int_{\Gamma_0^2} G(\eta)k_\mu(\eta)d\lambda(\eta) \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha^+, \alpha^-)$ let $\mathcal{L}_\alpha := L^1(\Gamma_0^2, e^{\alpha^+|\cdot^+|}e^{\alpha^-|\cdot^-|}d\lambda)$ and norm

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = \int_{\Gamma_0^2} |G(\eta)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}e^{\alpha^-|\eta^-|}d\lambda(\eta).$$

Then $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ can be identified with the Ruelle space $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ equipped with the norm

$$\|k\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\eta\in\Gamma_0^2} |k(\eta)|e^{-\alpha^+|\eta^+|}e^{-\alpha^-|\eta^-|}.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^+$ be its cone of positive definite functions and let $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ be the collection of all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ such that its correlation function satisfies $k_\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$.

Theorem 2.1. *[Len73, Len75] Let $k \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$. The following are equivalent.*

(a) There exists a unique $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ having k as its correlation function, i.e. $k = k_\mu$.

(b) $k(\varnothing, \varnothing) = 1$ and k is positive definite in the sense of (2.2).

Note that

$$d_\alpha(\mu, \nu) := \|k_\mu - k_\nu\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}$$

defines a metric on $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$. We will mainly work with this metric.

For the construction of an evolution of states, the following condition was introduced in [FK16b]. A family of functions $(R_\delta)_{\delta>0} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $0 < R_\delta \leq 1$ and $R_\delta(x) \nearrow 1$ for all x as $\delta \to 0$ is called localisation sequence. Let $L = L^+(\gamma^-) + L^-$ be given by (1.4) and (1.7). Denote by L_δ the linear map obtained by replacing $b^\pm$ with $b_\delta^\pm(x, \gamma) := R_\delta(x)b^\pm(x, \gamma)$. We denote by $L_{0,\delta}$ the linear map obtained by the restriction of L_δ onto functions defined on Γ_0^2.

Definition 2.2. *Let $(R_\delta)_{\delta>0}$ be a localisation sequence. We say that the Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to L_δ is well-posed in the class of integrable densities, if for any $0 \le \rho_0 \in L^1(\Gamma_0^2, d\lambda)$ there exists a unique weak solution $0 \le \rho_{t,\delta} \in L^1(\Gamma_0^2, d\lambda)$ to*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Gamma_0^2} F(\eta)\rho_{t,\delta}(\eta)d\lambda(\eta) = \int\limits_{\Gamma_0^2} L_{0,\delta}F(\eta)\rho_{t,\delta}(\eta)d\lambda(\eta), \quad \rho_{t,\delta}|_{t=0} = \rho_0$$

such that $\int\limits_{\Gamma_0^2} \rho_{t,\delta}(\eta)d\lambda(\eta) = \int\limits_{\Gamma_0^2} \rho_0(\eta)d\lambda(\eta)$.

Remark 2.3. *Above approximation b_δ is necessary since $b(x,\gamma)$, in general, need not to be integrable in x. In such a case there cannot be any solutions to the Fokker-Planck equation in the class of integrable densities.*

This condition is used in [FK16b] to prove that solutions to (1.3) can be approximated by positive definite functions and therefore are positive definite themselves. Particular examples illustrating how such condition can be checked are given in [Fri16],[Koz15]. In particular, the general statements obtained in [BA06],[TV06] can be used to check such condition.

We adapt the definitions and notations such as $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^\pm}, \mathcal{K}_{\alpha^\pm}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^\pm}$ and well-posedness of the Fokker-Planck equation in the class of integrable densities to the one-component case in the obvious way. In particular, let K be the K-transform on $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$. Denote by K_0, K_0^{-1} its restrictions onto Γ_0.

3 Stochastic averaging principle

Let $L = L^+(\gamma^-) + L^-$ be given by (1.4) and (1.8). Suppose that the birth-and-death rates are measurable, finite whenever $(\gamma^+, \gamma^-) \in \Gamma_0^2$ and

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int\limits_{M} (d^\pm(x,\eta) + b^\pm(x,\eta))d\lambda(\eta)dx < \infty$$

holds for any bounded set $M \subset \Gamma_0^2$. Finally, assume that

$$\inf_{1\le|\eta^-|<\infty} \sum_{x\in\eta^-} d^-(x, \eta^-\backslash x) > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Further conditions will be introduced below. In particular, it will be shown that (3.1) is sufficient for the ergodicity of an evolution of states associated with L^-.

3.1 Additional notations

We start with some notations used throughout this section. Let

$$0^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-} := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \mid G(\eta) = 0^{|\eta^+|}G(\eta) = 0^{|\eta^+|}G(\varnothing, \eta^-)\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \otimes 0^- := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \mid G(\eta) = 0^{|\eta^-|}G(\eta) = 0^{|\eta^-|}G(\eta^+, \varnothing)\}$$

be the closed subspaces of functions in one variable. Multiplication by $0^{|\eta^+|}$ and $0^{|\eta^-|}$, respectively defines projection operators on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Moreover,

$$\widehat{P}_+ : 0^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}, \quad \widehat{P}_+ G(\eta^-) = G(\varnothing, \eta^-)$$
$$\widehat{P}_- : \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \otimes 0^- \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}, \quad \widehat{P}_- G(\eta^+) = G(\eta^+, \varnothing)$$

are isometric isomorphisms with inverses given by

$$\widehat{P}_+^{-1} G(\eta) = 0^{|\eta^+|} G(\eta^-)$$
$$\widehat{P}_-^{-1} G(\eta) = 0^{|\eta^-|} G(\eta^+).$$

Given a bounded linear operator C on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$, we say that C leaves $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$-invariant if it leaves $0^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$ invariant. In such a case the restriction to $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$ is defined by

$$C|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} := \widehat{P}_+ C \widehat{P}_+^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

We adapt the same notation for $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}$ and $\widehat{P}_-$. Let

$$\mathcal{X} := \{G_1 \otimes G_2 \mid G_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+},\ G_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}\} \subset \mathcal{L}_\alpha$$

where $(G_1 \otimes G_2)(\eta) := G_1(\eta^+) G_2(\eta^-)$. Then, $\mathrm{lin}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is dense, where lin denotes the linear span of a given subset of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Given bounded linear operators A_1 on $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}$ and A_2 on $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$, the product $A_1 \otimes A_2$ on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is defined as the unique linear extension of the operator

$$(A_1 \otimes A_2) G(\eta) = A_1 G_1(\eta^+) A_2 G_2(\eta^-), \quad G \in \mathcal{X}.$$

This definition satisfies $\|(A_1 \otimes A_2) G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = \|A_1 G_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|A_2 G_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}$. Since $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$, one can show that such extension exists (see [Rya02]). Here $\widehat{\otimes}_\pi$ denotes the projective tensor product of Banach spaces. For A_2 being the identity operator we use the notation $A_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and for A_1 being the identity we use the notation $\mathbb{1} \otimes A_2$ respectively.

3.2 Step 1. Construction of isolated, ergodic environment

The following conditions are motivated by [FK16b]. Introduce a function

$$c_-(\alpha^-; \eta^-) := \sum_{x \in \eta^-} \int_{\Gamma_0^2} |K_0^{-1} d^-(x, \cdot \cup \eta^- \backslash x)|(\xi^-) e^{\alpha^- |\xi^-|} d\lambda(\xi^-)$$
$$+ e^{-\alpha^-} \sum_{x \in \eta^-} \int_{\Gamma_0^2} |K_0^{-1} b^-(x, \cdot \cup \eta^- \backslash x)|(\xi^-) e^{\alpha^- |\xi^-|} d\lambda(\xi^-).$$

Suppose that there exist constants $(\alpha^-, \beta^-, \nu^-)$ such that the following holds.

(E0) There exists a regularisation sequence such that the Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to L_δ^- is well-posed on densities $L^1(\Gamma_0, d\lambda)$.

(E1) There exists a constant $0 < a_-(\alpha^-) < 2$ satisfying

$$c_-(\alpha^-;\eta^-) \le a_-(\alpha^-)M_-(\eta^-), \quad \eta^- \in \Gamma_0,$$

where $M_-(\eta^-) = \sum_{x\in\eta^-} d^-(x,\eta^-\backslash x)$.

(E2) There exist constants $A > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\eta^- \in \Gamma_0$

$$d^-(x,\eta^-) + b^-(x,\eta^-) \le A(1+|\eta^-|)^N e^{\nu^-|\eta^-|}$$

holds.

(E3) We have $\beta^- + \nu^- < \alpha^-$ and there exists $a_-(\beta^-) > 0$ with

$$c_-(\beta^-;\eta^-) \le a_-(\beta^-)M_-(\eta^-), \quad \eta^- \in \Gamma_0.$$

Remark 3.1. *Conditions (E0), (E2) and (E3) are technical conditions which are satisfied for a suitable large class of models. In general (without condition (E1)) the corresponding evolution of states, provided it exists, cannot be localised in $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$ for one fixed choice of α^-, see [FK16b], [KK16].*

Let $\widehat{L}^- = \mathbb{K}_0^{-1}L^-\mathbb{K}_0$ be defined on $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2)$. It is given by $\widehat{L}^- = A_- + B_-$ where $(A_-G)(\eta) = -M_-(\eta^-)G(\eta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(B_-G)(\eta) = &-\sum_{\xi^-\subsetneq\eta^-} G(\eta^+,\xi^-)\sum_{x\in\xi^-}(K_0^{-1}d^-(x,\cdot\cup\xi^-\backslash x))(\eta^-\backslash\xi^-)\\ &+\sum_{\xi^-\subset\eta^-}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\eta^+,\xi^-\cup x)(K_0^{-1}b^-(x,\cdot\cup\xi^-))(\eta^-\backslash\xi^-)dx,\end{aligned}$$

see [FKO09]. Moreover, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma_0^2}|\widehat{L}^-G(\eta)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}e^{\alpha^-|\eta^-|}d\lambda(\eta) &\le \int_{\Gamma_0^2} c_-(\alpha^-;\eta^-)|G(\eta)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}e^{\alpha^-|\eta^-|}d\lambda(\eta)\\ &\le \int_{\Gamma_0^2} M_-(\eta)|G(\eta)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}e^{\alpha^-|\eta^-|}d\lambda(\eta)\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and $\widehat{L}^-$ is a well-defined linear operator on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ with domain

$$D(\widehat{L}^-) = \{G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \mid M_-\cdot G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha\},$$

see [FK16b]. Step 1 is summarised in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *The following assertions hold.*

(a) $(\widehat{L}^-, D(\widehat{L}^-))$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup of contractions $(\widehat{T}^-(t))_{t\ge0}$ on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

(b) For each $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ there exists a unique weak solution to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Gamma^2} F(\gamma)d\mu_t(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma^2} L^- F(\gamma)d\mu_t(\gamma), \quad F \in \mathcal{FP}(\Gamma^2), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The evolution of correlation functions $(k_{\mu_t})_{t\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is given by $k_{\mu_t} = \widehat{T}^-(t)^* k_{\mu_0}$, where $\widehat{T}(t)^*$ is the adjoint semigroup on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$.

(c) There exists $\mu_{\mathrm{inv}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$, and there exist $C, \lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$

$$\|\widehat{T}^-(t)G - \widehat{P}G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \leq Ce^{-\lambda_0 t}\|G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where k_{inv} is the correlation function for μ_{inv} and

$$\widehat{P}G(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0^-} G(\eta^+, \xi^-)k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-)0^{|\eta^-|}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, $\mu_{\mathrm{inv}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$ is unique with such property.

Remark 3.3. *The particular form of $\widehat{P}$ can be used to show that the evolution of states satisfies for all $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$*

$$\|k_{\mu_t} - k_{\mu_0^+ \otimes \mu_{\mathrm{inv}}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} \leq Ce^{-\lambda_0 t}\|k_{\mu_0}\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where μ_0^+ is the projection of μ_0 on its first component (see (1.9) with $t = 0$).

Remark 3.4. *For individual-based models, i.e. $b^-(x, \varnothing) = 0$, we have $k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\eta^-) = 0^{\eta^-}$. Such correlation function corresponds to the probability measure $\mu_{\mathrm{inv}} = \delta_{\{\varnothing\}}$ on Γ^-.*

Assertions (a) and (b) are direct consequences of the results obtained in [FK16b]. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of assertion (c).

Lemma 3.5. *μ_{inv} is uniquely determined by (3.4).*

Proof. Take $\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}, \nu_{\mathrm{inv}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$ with property (3.4). Denote by $k_{\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}}, k_{\nu_{\mathrm{inv}}}$ the corresponding correlation functions, let $\widehat{P}_\mu$ be given by (3.5) and $\widehat{P}_\nu$ be given as in (3.5) with $k_{\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}}$ replaced by $k_{\nu_{\mathrm{inv}}}$. Then

$$\|\widehat{P}_\mu G - \widehat{P}_\nu G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \leq \|\widehat{T}^-(t)G - \widehat{P}_\mu G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} + \|\widehat{T}^-(t)G - \widehat{P}_\nu G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \leq 2Ce^{-\lambda_0 t}\|G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$$

implies that $\widehat{P}_\mu G = \widehat{P}_\nu G$ for all $G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. In particular, for all $G \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$

$$\int_{\Gamma_0^-} G(\xi^-)k_{\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-) = \int_{\Gamma_0^-} G(\xi^-)k_{\nu_{\mathrm{inv}}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-)$$

and hence $k_{\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}} = k_{\nu_{\mathrm{inv}}}$ which in view of Theorem 2.1 proves the assertion. □

It remains to prove existence of μ_{inv} with property (3.4). For this purpose we first prove that the restriction of the dynamics onto Γ^- is ergodic with invariant measure μ_{inv}. Afterwards we show that this ergodicity can be extended in such a way that (3.4) holds.

Set $\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} := \widehat{P}_+\widehat{L}^-\widehat{P}_+^{-1}$, i.e. $\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}$ is obtained by evaluating $\widehat{L}^-$ only on functions $G(\eta^-)$. It is not difficult to see that $\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} = A_-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} + B_-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}$, $(A_-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}G)(\eta^-) = -M_-(\eta^-)G(\eta^-)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(B_-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}G)(\eta^-) = &-\sum_{\xi^-\subsetneq\eta^-} G(\xi^-)\sum_{x\in\xi^-}(K_0^{-1}d^-(x,\cdot\cup\xi^-\backslash x))(\eta^-\backslash\xi^-)\\ &+\sum_{\xi^-\subset\eta^-}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\xi^-\cup x)(K_0^{-1}b^-(x,\cdot\cup\xi^-))(\eta^-\backslash\xi^-)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Let us briefly recall the definition of a weak solution to (1.5).

Definition 3.6. *A family $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}\subset\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$ is a weak solution to (1.5) if for any $F\in\mathcal{FP}(\Gamma^-)$ we have $LF\in L^1(\Gamma^-,\mu_t^-)$ for all $t\geq 0$ and*

$$\mathbb{R}_+\ni t\longmapsto\int_{\Gamma^-}F(\gamma)d\mu_t^-(\gamma)$$

is absolutely continuous such that (1.5) holds.

The next lemma yields an evolution of states restricted to Γ^-.

Lemma 3.7. *[FK16b] $(\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}, D(\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}))$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup $\widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)$ of contractions on $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$, where*

$$D(\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}) = \{G\in\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}\mid M_-\cdot G\in\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}\}.$$

Moreover, $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ is a core for the generator $\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}$ and for each $\mu_0\in\mathcal{P}_{\beta^-}$ there exists a weak solution $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}\subset\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$ to (1.5). The corresponding evolution of correlation functions is given by $k_{\mu_t}=\widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)^*k_{\mu_0}$. Finally, this solution is unique among all weak solutions satisfying

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|k_{\mu_t^-}\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-}}<\infty,\quad\forall T>0.$$

This evolution of states is, in particular ergodic with exponential rate.

Lemma 3.8. *There exists a unique invariant state $\mu_{\text{inv}}\in\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^-}$ with correlation function k_{inv} such that $\widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)^*k_{\text{inv}}=k_{\text{inv}}$. Moreover, there exists constants $C,\lambda_0>0$ such that for all $G\in\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$*

$$\|\widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)G-\widehat{P}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}G\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}\leq Ce^{-\lambda_0 t}\|G-\widehat{P}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}G\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}},\quad t\geq 0,$$

where

$$\widehat{P}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}G(\eta^-)=\int_{\Gamma_0^-}G(\xi^-)k_{\text{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-)0^{|\eta^-|}.$$

As a consequence, the evolution of states satisfies (1.6), i.e.

$$\|k_{\mu_t}-k_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-}}\leq Ce^{-at}\|k_{\mu_0}-k_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-}},\quad t\geq 0.$$

Proof. Such result was shown for the two-component Widom-Rowlinson type model with mutations (see [Fri17]). The techniques therein can be used to show that the result holds for general birth-and-death rates b^- and d^- as given above. For convenience of the reader additional details are given in the appendix. □

The next lemma relates the semigroups $\widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)$ and $\widehat{T}^-(t)$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $\mathcal{D} := \{G_1 \otimes G_2 \in \mathcal{X} \mid G_2 \in D(\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}})\}$. *Then* $\mathrm{lin}(\mathcal{D}) \subset D(\widehat{L}^-)$ *is a core and*

$$\widehat{T}^-(t) = \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\widehat{L}^- G(\eta) = G_1(\eta^+)(\widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} G_2)(\eta^-), \quad G \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us show first $\widehat{T}^-(t) = \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)$. We see that $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t))G = G_1 \otimes \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)G_2$ is for any $G \in \mathcal{D}$ a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_t = \widehat{L}^- G_t, \quad G_t|_{t=0} = G$$

on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$, see (3.7). Since for $G \in \mathcal{D} \subset D(\widehat{L}^-)$ this Cauchy problem has the unique solution given by $G_t = \widehat{T}^-(t)G$, it follows that $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t))G = \widehat{T}^-(t)G$. Since $\mathrm{lin}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is dense we obtain (3.6). Moreover, from (3.6) it follows that $\mathcal{D}$, and hence $\mathrm{lin}(\mathcal{D})$, is invariant for $\widehat{T}^-(t)$. Thus it is a core for the generator $\widehat{L}^-$. □

Step 1 is completed, once we have shown (3.4). Observe that $\widehat{P}$ given by (3.5) leaves $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$ invariant and

$$\widehat{P} = \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{P}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}$ and hence by [Rya02]

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha \cong \left\{ G = \sum_{n=1}^\infty G^1_n \otimes G^2_n \;\middle|\; (G^1_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+},\ (G^2_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-},\ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|G^1_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|G^2_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $G = \sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty G^1_n \otimes G^2_n \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and set $G_N := \sum\limits_{n=1}^N G^1_n \otimes G^2_n \in \mathrm{lin}(\mathcal{X})$. From (3.8) it follows that $\widehat{P}G_N = \sum\limits_{n=1}^N G^1_n \cdot \widehat{P}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} G^2_n$ and by Lemma 3.9 $\widehat{T}^-(t)G_N = \sum\limits_{n=1}^N G^1_n \cdot \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)G^2_n$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{T}^-(t)G_N - \widehat{P}G_N\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} &\le \sum_{n=1}^N \|G^1_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|\widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)G^2_n - \widehat{P}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} G^2_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} \\
&\le 2Ce^{-\lambda_0 t} \sum_{n=1}^N \|G^1_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|G^2_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $N \to \infty$ yields by $G_N \longrightarrow G$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$

$$\|\widehat{T}^-(t)G - \widehat{P}G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \leq 2Ce^{-\lambda_0 t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|G_n^1\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|G_n^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since (see [Rya02])

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|G_n^1\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|G_n^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} \;\middle|\; G = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n^1 \otimes G_n^2 \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \right\}$$

we find a sequence $G_k = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n,k}^1 \otimes G_{n,k}^2$ with $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \|G_{n,k}^1\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|G_{n,k}^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}} \longrightarrow \|G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}$, as $k \to \infty$. Applying (3.9) to G_k gives

$$\|\widehat{T}^-(t)G_k - \widehat{P}G_k\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \leq 2Ce^{-\lambda_0 t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|G_{n,k}^1\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}} \|G_{n,k}^2\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}.$$

Taking the limit $k \to \infty$ yields (3.4). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

3.3 Step 2. Construction of scaled dynamics

Similarly to the case first step let

$$\begin{aligned} c_+(\alpha;\eta) := & \sum_{x\in\eta^+} \int_{\Gamma_0^2} |\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} d^+(x, \cdot \cup \eta^+ \backslash x, \cdot \cup \eta^-)|(\xi) e^{\alpha^+|\xi^+|} e^{\alpha^-|\xi^-|} d\lambda(\xi) \\ & + e^{-\alpha^+} \sum_{x\in\eta^+} \int_{\Gamma_0} |\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} b^+(x, \cdot \cup \eta^+ \backslash x, \cdot \cup \eta^-)|(\xi) e^{\alpha^+|\xi^+|} e^{\alpha^-|\xi^-|} d\lambda(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

,We assume that the following conditions are fulfilled.

(S0) There exists a localisation sequence such that the Fokker-Planck equation associated with L_δ^+ is well-posed on densities $L^1(\Gamma_0^2, d\lambda)$.

(S1) There exists a constant $0 < a_+(\alpha) < 2$ such that

$$c_+(\alpha;\eta) \leq a_+(\alpha) M_+(\eta), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0^2$$

holds, where $M_+(\eta) = \sum\limits_{x\in\eta^+} d^+(x, \eta^+ \backslash x, \eta^-)$.

(S2) There exist constants $A > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu^+ \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\eta \in \Gamma_0^2$

$$d^+(x,\eta) + b^+(x,\eta) \leq A(1 + |\eta|)^N e^{\nu^+|\eta|}.$$

(S3) There exists β^+ with $\beta^+ + \nu^+ < \alpha^+$; β^- from (E4) satisfies $\beta^- + \max\{\nu^+, \nu^-\} < \alpha^-$ and there exists a constant $a_+(\beta) > 0$ satisfying

$$c_+(\beta;\eta) \leq a_+(\beta) M_+(\eta), \quad \eta \in \Gamma_0^2.$$

Consider the scaled operator $L_\varepsilon = L^+ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}L^-$ and define $\widehat{L}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{K}_0^{-1} L_\varepsilon \mathbb{K}_0 = \widehat{L}^+ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\widehat{L}^-$ on $B_{bs}(\Gamma_0^2)$. The second term was considered in the first step. The first term is given by $\widehat{L}^+ = A_+ + B_+$, where $(A_+G)(\eta) = -M_+(\eta)G(\eta)$ with $M_+(\eta) = \sum_{x\in\eta^+} d^+(x,\eta^-,\eta^+\backslash x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(B_+G)(\eta) = &-\sum_{\xi\subsetneq\eta} G(\xi) \sum_{x\in\xi^+} (\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} d^+(x,\cdot\cup\xi^+\backslash x,\cdot\cup\xi^-))(\eta\backslash\xi) \\ &+ \sum_{\xi\subset\eta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\xi^+\cup x,\xi^-)(\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} b^+(x,\cdot\cup\xi^+,\cdot\cup\xi^-))(\eta\backslash\xi)dx,\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

see [FKO09]. Similarly to the first step, one can show that

$$\int_{\Gamma_0^2} |\widehat{L}^+ G(\eta)| e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|} e^{\alpha^-|\eta^-|} d\lambda(\eta) \le a_+(\alpha) \int_{\Gamma_0^2} M_+(\eta)|G(\eta)| e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|} e^{\alpha^-|\eta^-|} d\lambda(\eta)$$

holds for all $G \in D(\widehat{L}^+) = \{G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \mid M_+ \cdot G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha\}$.

Lemma 3.10. *The operator $(\widehat{L}^+, D(\widehat{L}^+))$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup $(\widehat{T}^+(t))_{t\ge0}$ of contractions on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. $D(\widehat{L}^+)\cap D(\widehat{L}^-)$ is a core for the operator $(\widehat{L}^-, D(\widehat{L}^-))$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from [FK16b]. Let us prove the second assertion. Let $G \in D(\widehat{L}^-)$ and set $G_\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+M_+}G$, $\lambda > 0$. Then $G_\lambda \in D(\widehat{L}^+)\cap D(\widehat{L}^-)$ and obviously $G_\lambda \longrightarrow G$ in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ as $\lambda\to\infty$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{L}^- G_\lambda - \widehat{L}^- G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} &\le \|M_-\cdot(G_\lambda - G)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} + \|B_-(G_\lambda - G)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \\ &\le (1+a_-(\alpha^-))\|M_-\cdot(G_\lambda - G)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} \\ &= (1+a_-(\alpha^-)) \int_{\Gamma_0^2} \frac{M_-(\eta^-)M_+(\eta)}{\lambda + M_+(\eta)} |G(\eta)| e^{\alpha|\eta|} d\lambda(\eta)\end{aligned}$$

which implies by dominated convergence $\widehat{L}^- G_\lambda \longrightarrow \widehat{L}^- G$ as $\lambda\to\infty$. □

Define the notion of weak solutions to (1.10) in the same way as for (1.5).

Proposition 3.11. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ the operator $(\widehat{L}^+ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\widehat{L}^-, D(\widehat{L}^+)\cap D(\widehat{L}^-))$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup of contractions on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Moreover, for any $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ there exists a solution $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)_{t\ge0} \subset \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ to the Fokker-Planck equation (1.10). This solution is unique among all weak solutions satisfying*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|k_{\mu_t^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} < \infty, \quad \forall T > 0.$$

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta \in \Gamma_0^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}c_+(\alpha;\eta^+) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}c_-(\alpha^-;\eta^-) &\le a_+(\alpha)M_+(\eta) + \frac{a_-(\alpha^-)}{\varepsilon}M_-(\eta^-) \\ &\le \max\{a_-(\alpha^-), a_+(\alpha)\}\left(M_+(\eta) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}M_-(\eta^-)\right).\end{aligned}$$

The assertion now follows by an application of [FK16b]. □

3.4 Step 3. Construction of averaged dynamics

Let

$$\overline{c}(\alpha^+;\eta^+) := \sum_{x\in\eta^+}\int_{\Gamma_0^+} |K_0^{-1}\overline{d}(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x)|(\xi^+)e^{\alpha^+|\xi^+|}d\lambda(\xi^+) + e^{-\alpha^+}\sum_{x\in\eta^+}\int_{\Gamma_0^+} |K_0^{-1}\overline{b}(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x)|(\xi^+)e^{\alpha^+|\xi^+|}d\lambda(\xi^+).$$

and $\overline{M}(\eta^+) := \sum_{x\in\eta^+}\overline{d}(x,\eta^+\backslash x)$. We suppose that following conditions are satisfied.

(AD0) There exists a localisation sequence such that the Fokker-Planck equation associated to $\overline{L}$ (see (1.13)) is well-posed in the class of densities $L^1(\Gamma_0^+,d\lambda)$.

(AD1) There exists a constant $\overline{a}(\alpha^+)\in(0,2)$ such that

$$\overline{c}(\alpha^+;\eta^+)\le\overline{a}(\alpha^+)\overline{M}(\eta^+),\quad \eta^+\in\Gamma_0 \tag{3.11}$$

holds.

(AD2) There exist $A>0$, $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{\nu}\ge 0$ such that

$$\overline{d}(x,\eta^+)+\overline{b}(x,\eta^+)\le A(1+|\eta^+|)^N e^{\overline{\nu}|\eta^+|}$$

holds for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\eta^+\in\Gamma_0$.

(AD3) β^+ given in (S3) satisfies $\beta^+ + \max\{\overline{\nu},\nu^+\}<\alpha^+$ and there exists $\overline{a}(\beta^+)>0$ such that

$$\overline{c}(\beta^+;\eta^+)\le\overline{a}(\beta^+)\overline{M}(\eta^+),\quad \eta^+\in\Gamma_0$$

holds.

Motivated by [Kur73, Theorem 2.1], define the averaged operator $\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+$ equipped with the domain

$$D(\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+)=\left\{G\in\mathrm{Ran}(\widehat{P})\mid G\in D(\widehat{L}^+)\right\}.$$

The projection operator $\widehat{P}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Ran}(\widehat{P})=\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}\otimes 0^-$. Given $G(\eta^+)0^{|\eta^-|}$ we obtain

$$M_+(\eta^+,\eta^-)G(\eta^+)0^{|\eta^-|}=M_+(\eta^+,\varnothing)G(\eta^+)0^{|\eta^-|}$$

and hence

$$D(\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+)=\{G=G_1\otimes 0^-\mid G_1\in D_{\alpha^+}(M_+(\cdot,\varnothing))\}=\widehat{P}_-^{-1}D_{\alpha^+}(M_+(\cdot,\varnothing)), \tag{3.12}$$

where $D_{\alpha^+}(M_+(\cdot,\varnothing)):=\{G\in\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}\mid M_+(\cdot,\varnothing)G\in\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}\}$.

Proposition 3.12. *The operator $(\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}D(\widehat{L}^+))$ is closable and the closure is the generator of an analytic semigroup of contractions on $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \otimes 0^-$.*

Proof. For $G(\eta) = G_1(\eta^+)0^{|\eta^-|}$ with $G_1 \in D_{\alpha^+}(M_+(\cdot, \varnothing))$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{L}^+ G(\eta) = &- \sum_{\xi^+ \subset \eta^+} G_1(\xi^+) \sum_{x \in \xi^+} (\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} d^+(x, \cdot \cup \xi^+ \backslash x, \cdot))(\eta^+ \backslash \xi^+, \eta^-) \\ &+ \sum_{\xi^+ \subset \eta^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_1(\xi^+ \cup x)(\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} b^+(x, \cdot \cup \xi^+, \cdot))(\eta^+ \backslash \xi^+, \eta^-) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the operator $\widehat{P}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+ G(\eta) = \\ &- 0^{|\eta^-|} \sum_{\xi^+ \subset \eta^+} G_1(\xi^+) \sum_{x \in \xi^+} \int_{\Gamma_0^-} (\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} d^+(x, \cdot \cup \xi^+ \backslash x, \cdot))(\eta^+ \backslash \xi^+, \xi^-) k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-) d\lambda(\xi^-) \\ &+ 0^{|\eta^-|} \sum_{\xi^+ \subset \eta^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_1(\xi^+ \cup x) \int_{\Gamma_0^-} (\mathbb{K}_0^{-1} b^+(x, \cdot \cup \xi^+, \cdot))(\eta^+ \backslash \xi^+, \xi^-) k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-) d\lambda(\xi^-) dx.\end{aligned}$$

The definition of the K-transform yields for $x \notin \eta^+$ and $\eta^+ \in \Gamma_0^+$

$$\int_{\Gamma^-} d^+(x, \eta^+, \gamma^-) d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-) = \int_{\Gamma_0^-} \sum_{\zeta^- \subset \xi^-} (-1)^{|\xi^- \backslash \zeta^-|} d^+(x, \eta^+, \zeta^-) k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-) d\lambda(\xi^-) \tag{3.13}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma^-} b^+(x, \eta^+, \gamma^-) d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-) = \int_{\Gamma_0^-} \sum_{\zeta^- \subset \xi^-} (-1)^{|\xi^- \backslash \zeta^-|} b^+(x, \eta^+, \zeta^-) k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-) d\lambda(\xi^-). \tag{3.14}$$

As a consequence by (1.14), (1.15), (3.13) and (3.14) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+ G(\eta) = &- \overline{M}(\eta^+) G_1(\eta^+) 0^{|\eta^-|} \\ &- 0^{|\eta^-|} \sum_{\xi^+ \subsetneq \eta^-} G_1(\xi^+) \sum_{x \in \xi^+} (K_0^{-1} \overline{d}(x, \cdot \cup \xi^+ \backslash x))(\eta^+ \backslash \xi^+) \\ &+ 0^{|\eta^-|} \sum_{\xi^+ \subset \eta^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_1(\xi^+ \cup x)(K_0^{-1} \overline{b}(x, \cdot \cup \xi^+))(\eta^+ \backslash \xi^+) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments to [FK16b] together with (3.11) imply that $(\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}_-^{-1} D(\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}}))$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup $(\widehat{U}_{\alpha^+}(t) \otimes 0^-)_{t \geq 0}$ of contractions on $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \otimes 0^-$, where

$$D(\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}}) = \{G \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+} \mid \overline{M} \cdot G \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}\}.$$

Moreover, it is not difficult to show that

$$\overline{(\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}_-^{-1} B_{bs}(\Gamma_0))} = (\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}_-^{-1} D(\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}})).$$

The assertion follows, if we can show

$$(\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}_-^{-1}B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)) \subset (\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}_-^{-1}D_{\alpha^+}(M_+(\cdot,\varnothing))) \subset (\widehat{P}\widehat{L}^+, \widehat{P}_-^{-1}D(\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}})). \tag{3.15}$$

The first inclusion in (3.15) is obvious. Let us prove the second inclusion. We obtain by (3.13) and (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0^{-1}\overline{d}(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x)(\xi^+) &= \sum_{\zeta^+\subset\xi^+}(-1)^{|\xi^+\backslash\zeta^+|}\int_{\Gamma^-} d^+(x,\zeta^+\cup\eta^+\backslash x,\gamma^-)d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-)\\
&= \int_{\Gamma_0^-}\sum_{\substack{\zeta^+\subset\xi^+\\ \zeta^-\subset\xi^-}}(-1)^{|\xi^+\backslash\zeta^+|+|\xi^-\backslash\zeta^-|}d^+(x,\zeta^+\cup\eta^+\backslash x,\zeta^-)k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-)\\
&= \int_{\Gamma_0^-}(\mathbb{K}_0^{-1}d^+(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x,\cdot))(\xi)k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-)
\end{aligned}$$

and likewise

$$K_0^{-1}\overline{b}(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x)(\xi^+) = \int_{\Gamma_0^-}(\mathbb{K}_0^{-1}b^+(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x,\cdot))(\xi)k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi^-).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{c}(\alpha^+;\eta^+) \le &+\sum_{x\in\eta^+}\int_{\Gamma_0^2}|\mathbb{K}_0^{-1}d^+(x,\cdot\cup\eta^+\backslash x,\cdot)|(\xi)e^{\alpha^+|\xi^+|}k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi)\\
&+e^{-\alpha^+}\sum_{x\in\eta^+}\int_{\Gamma_0^2}|\mathbb{K}_0^{-1}b^+(x,\cdot\cup\xi^+\backslash x,\cdot)|(\xi)e^{\alpha^+|\xi^+|}k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi^-)d\lambda(\xi),\\
&\le a_+(\alpha)\|k_{\mathrm{inv}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-}}M_+(\eta^+,\varnothing).
\end{aligned}$$

The second inclusion in (3.15) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma_0^2}\overline{M}(\eta^+)|G(\eta^+)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}d\lambda(\eta^+) &\le \int_{\Gamma_0^+}\overline{c}(\alpha^+;\eta^+)|G(\eta^+)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}d\lambda(\eta^+)\\
&\le a_+(\alpha)\|k_{\mathrm{inv}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-}}\int_{\Gamma_0^+}M_+(\eta^+,\varnothing)|G(\eta^+)|e^{\alpha^+|\eta^+|}d\lambda(\eta^+).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\widehat{U}_{\alpha^+}(t) := (\widehat{U}_{\alpha^+}(t)\otimes 0^-)(t)|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}}$, then it has generator $(\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}}, D(\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}}))$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{L}^+|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^+}}G(\eta) = &-\sum_{\xi^+\subset\eta^-}G(\xi^+)\sum_{x\in\xi^+}(K_0^{-1}\overline{d}(x,\cdot\cup\xi^+\backslash x))(\eta^+\backslash\xi^+)\\
&+\sum_{\xi^+\subset\eta^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}G(\xi^+\cup x)(K_0^{-1}\overline{b}(x,\cdot\cup\xi^+))(\eta^+\backslash\xi^+)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

3.5 Step 4. Stochastic averaging principle

First we establish the averaging principle for quasi-observables and correlation functions.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\widehat{T}^{\varepsilon}(t)$ be the semigroup generated by $\widehat{L}^{+}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\widehat{L}^{-}$. Then, for any $G\in\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^{+}}$*

$$\widehat{T}^{\varepsilon}(t)\widehat{P}_{-}^{-1}G\longrightarrow\widehat{P}_{-}^{-1}\widehat{U}_{\alpha^{+}}(t)G,\quad\varepsilon\to 0 \tag{3.16}$$

and for any $k\in\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma_{0}^{+}}G(\eta^{+})(\widehat{T}^{\varepsilon}(t)^{*}k)(\eta^{+},\varnothing)d\lambda(\eta^{+})\longrightarrow\int\limits_{\Gamma_{0}^{+}}G(\eta^{+})\left(\widehat{U}_{\alpha^{+}}(t)^{*}k(\cdot,\varnothing)\right)(\eta^{+})d\lambda(\eta^{+}), \tag{3.17}$$

holds uniformly on compacts in $t\geq 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$.

Proof. Steps 1 – 3 show that [Kur73, Theorem 2.1] is applicable which yields (3.16) and hence

$$\langle\widehat{P}_{-}^{-1}G,\widehat{T}^{\varepsilon}(t)^{*}k\rangle=\langle\widehat{T}^{\varepsilon}(t)\widehat{P}_{-}^{-1}G,k\rangle\longrightarrow\langle\widehat{P}_{-}^{-1}\widehat{U}_{\alpha^{+}}(t)G,k\rangle$$

uniformly on compacts in $t\geq 0$. The convergence (3.17) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\widehat{P}_{-}^{-1}\widehat{U}_{\alpha^{+}}(t)G,k\rangle&=\int\limits_{\Gamma_{0}^{+}}\widehat{U}_{\alpha^{+}}(t)G(\eta^{+})k(\eta^{+},\varnothing)d\lambda(\eta^{+})\\&=\int\limits_{\Gamma_{0}^{+}}G(\eta^{+})\left(\widehat{U}_{\alpha^{+}}(t)^{*}k(\cdot,\varnothing)\right)(\eta^{+})d\lambda(\eta^{+}).\end{aligned}$$

□

The next statement is the main result of this work.

Theorem 3.14. *Suppose that conditions (E0) – (E3), (S0) – (S3) and (AD0) – (AD3) are fulfilled. Then, the following assertions are true:*

(a) For any $\varepsilon>0$, and any $\mu_{0}\in\mathcal{P}_{\beta}$ there exists a unique weak solution to (1.10)*.*

(b) For any $\mu\in\mathcal{P}_{\beta^{+}}$ there exists a unique weak solution to (1.12)*.*

(c) For any $\mu_{0}\in\mathcal{P}_{\beta}$ let μ_{0}^{+} be the marginal on its first component, let be the solution given by (b) with initial condition $\mu_{0}^{+}\in\mathcal{P}_{\beta^{+}}$ and $(\mu_{t}^{\varepsilon})_{t\geq 0}$ given by (a). Then (1.11) *holds uniformly on compacts in $t\geq 0$.*

Proof. Assertion (a) follows from the second step. Assertion (b) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.12 and [FK16b]. The last assertion (c) follows from (3.17). □

4 Examples

Many models of interacting particle systems are based on translation invariant rates (see e.g. [FKK15]). Such rates may result from an idealisation and simplification of the underlying physical model under which particular properties can be studied and observed. However, biological systems related with the description of tumour growth should, due to their complex spatial structure, be modelled by space-inhomogeneous rates. The particular choice of such rates is often based on ad-hoc assumptions and a deep understanding of the underlying nature of the dynamics involved. Using the stochastic averaging principle, we show that such rates can be rigorously derived from the interaction with a Markovian environment. Based on the interactions of the system with its environment, such rates can be obtained by an averaging procedure with respect to the invariant measure of the environment.

The birth-and-death rates in the examples given below consist of the terms

$$E_{\varphi}(x,\gamma^{\pm}) := \sum_{y\in\gamma^{\pm}} \varphi(x-y), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \quad \gamma^{\pm}\in\Gamma.$$

Here φ is a symmetric, non-negative and, integrable function. Below we omit all calculations. Details related with similar computations can be found in [FKK12],[FKK15],[FK16b].

4.1 Two interacting Glauber dynamics

Suppose that the death rates are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^{-}(x,\gamma^{+},\gamma^{-}\backslash x) &= \exp\left(-sE_{\psi^{+}}(x,\gamma^{+})\right)\\ d^{+}(x,\gamma^{+}\backslash x,\gamma^{-}) &= \exp\left(-sE_{\psi^{-}}(x,\gamma^{-})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $s\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and ψ^{+},ψ^{-} are symmetric, non-negative and, integrable. The birth rates are assumed to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} b^{-}(x,\gamma) &= z^{-}\exp\left(-(1-s)E_{\psi^{+}}(x,\gamma^{+})\right)\exp\left(-E_{\phi^{-}}(x,\gamma^{-})\right)\\ b^{+}(x,\gamma) &= z^{+}\exp\left(-(1-s)E_{\psi^{-}}(x,\gamma^{-})\right)\exp\left(-E_{\phi^{+}}(x,\gamma^{+})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $z^{-},z^{+}>0$ and ϕ^{-},ϕ^{+} are assumed to be non-negative, symmetric and integrable. For $f:\mathbb{R}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}_{+}$ let

$$C(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-f(x)}-1|dx. \tag{4.1}$$

The next theorem provides ergodicity of the corresponding evolution of states.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\phi^{+},\phi^{-},\psi^{+},\psi^{-}$ be symmetric, non-negative, integrable and, assume that the parameters satisfy the relations*

$$e^{e^{\alpha^{+}}C(s\psi^{+})}+e^{-\alpha^{-}}z^{-}e^{e^{\alpha^{+}}C((1-s)\psi^{+})}e^{e^{\alpha^{-}}C(\phi^{-})}<2 \tag{4.2}$$

$$e^{e^{\alpha^{-}}C(s\psi^{-})}+e^{-\alpha^{+}}z^{+}e^{e^{\alpha^{-}}C((1-s)\psi^{-})}e^{e^{\alpha^{+}}C(\phi^{+})}<2. \tag{4.3}$$

Then for each $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ there exists a unique weak solution $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ to the Fokker-Planck equation. If in addition $s = 0$, then there exists a unique invariant measure $\mu_{\mathrm{inv}} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ and the evolution of states is ergodic with exponential rate.

Proof. Existence and uniqueness of an evolution of states follows from [FK16b]. Ergodicity follows from an two-component version of Lemma 3.8, i.e. one can simply repeat the arguments given in [Fri17]. □

In the case $s = 0$ conditions (4.2) and (4.3) simplify to

$$z^- e^{e^{\alpha^+} C(\psi^+)} e^{e^{\alpha^-} C(\phi^-)} < e^{\alpha^-} \tag{4.4}$$

$$z^+ e^{e^{\alpha^-} C(\psi^-)} e^{e^{\alpha^+} C(\phi^+)} < e^{\alpha^+}. \tag{4.5}$$

Of particular interest is the special case $\phi^+ = 0 = \phi^-$, also known as the Widom-Rowlinson model. The non-equilibrium dynamics for this model has recently been analysed in [FKKO15]. Without conditions (4.4) and (4.5) only existence of a local evolution of correlation functions could have been shown. Conditions (4.4) and (4.5) are satisfied for $e^{-\alpha^+} = C(\psi^+)$ and $e^{-\alpha^-} = C(\psi^-)$, provided

$$z^- < \frac{1}{eC(\psi^-)} \text{ and } z^+ < \frac{1}{eC(\psi^+)}.$$

Stochastic averaging principle

Suppose that $s = 0$ and $\psi^+ = 0$. Then

$$d^-(x, \gamma^+, \gamma^- \backslash x) = 1 = d^+(x, \gamma^+ \backslash x, \gamma^-)$$

and the birth rates are given by

$$\begin{aligned} b^-(x, \gamma) &= z^- \exp\left(-E_{\phi^-}(x, \gamma^-)\right) \\ b^+(x, \gamma) &= z^+ \exp\left(-E_{\psi^-}(x, \gamma^-)\right) \exp\left(-E_{\phi^+}(x, \gamma^+)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} z^- \exp\left(e^{\alpha^-} C(\phi^-)\right) &< e^{\alpha^-} \\ z^+ \exp\left(e^{\alpha^-} C(\psi^-)\right) \exp\left(e^{\alpha^+} C(\phi^+)\right) &< e^{\alpha^+} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

are fulfilled. Then, conditions (E1) – (E4) and (S1) – (S3) are satisfied. Because of $b^-(x, \eta) \leq z^-$ and $b^+(x, \eta) \leq z^-$ for all $\eta \in \Gamma_0^2$ it follows that conditions (E0) and (S0) are fulfilled (see, e.g. [TV06][Fri16]). The unique invariant measure for the environment is given by the Gibbs measure μ_{inv} with activity z^- and potential ϕ^-. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d}(x, \eta^+) &= \int_{\Gamma^-} d^+(x, \eta^+, \gamma^-) d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-) = 1 \\ \overline{b}(x, \eta^+) &= \int_{\Gamma^-} b^+(x, \eta^+, \gamma^-) d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-) = z^+ \exp\left(-E_{\phi^+}(x, \gamma^+)\right) \int_{\Gamma^-} e^{-E_{\psi^-}(x, \gamma^-)} d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-). \end{aligned}$$

Conditions (AD1) – (AD3) hold since, by (4.6),

$$z^+ \exp\left(e^{\alpha^+} C(\phi^+)\right) < e^{\alpha^+}.$$

Condition (AD0) is satisfied due to $\overline{b}(x,\eta^+) \le z^+$. Hence we have shown that Theorem 3.14 is applicable. The limiting dynamics is given by the averaged operator

$$(\overline{L}F)(\gamma^+) = \sum_{x\in\gamma^+} (F(\gamma^+\backslash x) - F(\gamma^+)) + z^+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\lambda}(x) e^{-E_{\phi^+}(x,\gamma^+)} (F(\gamma^+ \cup x) - F(\gamma^+))dx,$$

where $\overline{\lambda}(x) = \int_{\Gamma^-} e^{-E_{\psi^-}(x,\gamma^-)} d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-)$. That is by a Glauber dynamics with potential ϕ^+ and spatial inhomogeneous activity $z^+\overline{\lambda}(x)$.

4.2 BDLP-dynamics in Glauber environment

Let us consider death rates given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^-(x,\gamma^+,\gamma^-\backslash x) &= 1 \\ d^+(x,\gamma^+\backslash x,\gamma^-) &= m^+ + \sum_{y\in\gamma^+\backslash x} a^-(x-y) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^-} \phi(x-y), \end{aligned}$$

where $m^+ > 0$ and $0 \le a^-, \phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are symmetric. The birth rates are assumed to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} b^-(x,\gamma) &= z^- \exp\left(-E_\psi(x,\gamma^-)\right) \\ b^+(x,\gamma) &= \sum_{y\in\gamma^+} a^+(x-y) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^-} b^+(x-y), \end{aligned}$$

where $z^- > 0$ and $0 \le \psi, a^+, b^+ \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are symmetric.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $a^\pm, b^+, \phi$ are bounded and there exist $\theta \in (0, e^{\alpha^+})$ and $b \ge 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{x\in\eta^+} \sum_{y\in\eta^+\backslash x} a^+(x-y) \le \theta \sum_{x\in\eta^+} \sum_{y\in\eta^+\backslash x} a^-(x-y) + b|\eta^+| \tag{4.7}$$

is satisfied. Moreover, assume that for some $\vartheta \in (0, e^{\alpha^+})$ and

$$\vartheta\phi \ge b^+ \tag{4.8}$$

$$e^{\alpha^-} > z^- \exp\left(e^{\alpha^-} C(\psi)\right) \tag{4.9}$$

$$m^+ > e^{\alpha^+}\langle a^-\rangle + e^{\alpha^-}\langle\phi\rangle + \langle a^+\rangle + \langle b^+\rangle + e^{-\alpha^+} b \tag{4.10}$$

hold. Then for each $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ there exists a unique weak solution in $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ to the Fokker-Planck equation. Moreover, the evolution of states is ergodic with exponential rate.

Suppose that the conditions above are satisfied. Then (E0) – (E4) and (S0) – (S3) are satisfied. The unique invariant measure for the environment is the Gibbs measure μ_{inv} with activity z^- and potential ψ. The averaged rates are given by

$$\overline{d}(x,\eta^+) = m^+ + \sum_{y\in\gamma^+\setminus x} a^-(x-y) + \int_{\Gamma^-}\sum_{y\in\gamma^-}\phi(x-y)d\mu_{\text{inv}}(\gamma^-)$$
$$\overline{b}(x,\eta^+) = \sum_{y\in\gamma^+} a^+(x-y) + \int_{\Gamma^-}\sum_{y\in\gamma^-} b^+(x-y)d\mu_{\text{inv}}(\gamma^-).$$

Let $\overline{\lambda}(x) := \int_{\Gamma^-}\sum_{y\in\gamma^-} b^+(x-y)d\mu_{\text{inv}}(\gamma^-)$ and $\overline{m}(x) := \int_{\Gamma^-}\sum_{y\in\gamma^-}\phi(x-y)d\mu_{\text{inv}}(\gamma^-)$, then condition (3.11) is satisfied, provided there exists $q\in(0,1)$ with

$$be^{-\alpha^+} + \overline{\lambda}(x)e^{-\alpha^+} + e^{\alpha^+}\langle a^-\rangle + \langle a^+\rangle \le q(m^+ + \overline{m}(x)), \quad \text{for a.a. } x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

This is, in particular, satisfied if there exist $\kappa\in(0,e^{\alpha^+})$ with $\overline{\lambda}\le\kappa\overline{m}$. The averaged operator is given by

$$(\overline{L}F)(\gamma^+) = \sum_{x\in\gamma^+}\left(m^+ + \overline{m}(x) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^+\setminus x} a^-(x-y)\right)(F(\gamma^+\setminus x) - F(\gamma^+))$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\gamma^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a^+(x-y)(F(\gamma^+\cup y) - F(\gamma^+))dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\overline{\lambda}(y)(F(\gamma^+\cup y) - F(\gamma^+))dy.$$

This averaged operator describes the spatial logistic model with immigration. Under the given conditions it follows that the evolution of states corresponding to $\overline{L}$ is ergodic. Let $\overline{\mu}$ be its invariant measure. Without interactions with the environment, i.e. $\overline{m} = \overline{\lambda} = 0$, we have $\overline{\mu} = \delta_\varnothing$. In the presence of interactions, however, the invariant measure is non-degenerated, i.e. $\overline{\mu}\neq\delta_\varnothing$.

4.3 Density dependent branching in Glauber environment

Suppose that the death rates are given by

$$d^-(x,\gamma^+,\gamma^-\setminus x) = 1$$
$$d^+(x,\gamma^+\setminus x,\gamma^-) = m^+\exp\left(E_{\phi^+}(x,\gamma^+\setminus x)\right),$$

where $m^+>0$. The birth rates are given by

$$b^-(x,\gamma) = z^-\exp\left(-E_{\phi^-}(x,\gamma^-)\right)$$
$$b^+(x,\gamma) = \sum_{y\in\gamma^+}\exp\left(-E_{\psi^-}(y,\gamma^-)\right)a^+(x-y)$$

with $z^->0$ and a^+,ϕ^-,ϕ^+,ψ^- symmetric, non-negative and integrable.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that $0 \neq \phi^+, a^+$ are bounded, there exist constants $\kappa > 0$ and $b \geq 0$ such that for all $\eta^+ \in \Gamma_0$*

$$\sum_{x\in\eta^+} \sum_{y\in\eta^+\setminus x} a^+(x-y) \leq \vartheta \sum_{x\in\eta^+} \sum_{y\in\eta^+\setminus x} \phi^+(x-y) + b|\eta^+| \tag{4.11}$$

and the parameters satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\alpha^-} &> z^- \exp\left(e^{\alpha^-} C(\phi^-)\right) \\ 2 &> e^{e^{\alpha^+} C(-\phi^+)} + \frac{\max\{\langle a^+\rangle + be^{-\alpha^+}, \vartheta e^{-\alpha^+}\}}{m^+} e^{e^{\alpha^-} C(\psi^-)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for each $\beta^\pm + \|\phi^+\|_\infty < \alpha^\pm$ and each $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ there exists a unique weak solution in $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ to the Fokker-Planck equation. Moreover, the corresponding evolution of states is ergodic with exponential rate.

Suppose that the conditions above hold. Then conditions (E0) – (E4) and (S0) – (S3) are fulfilled. Let μ_{inv} be the invariant measure for the environment. Then

$$\overline{d}(x, \gamma^+) = m^+ \exp\left(E_{\phi^+}(x, \gamma^+\setminus x)\right)$$

and with $\overline{\lambda}(y) := \int\limits_{\Gamma^-} \exp\left(-E_{\psi^-}(y, \gamma^-)\right) d\mu_{\text{inv}}(\gamma^-) \leq 1$

$$\overline{b}(x, \gamma^+) = \sum_{y\in\gamma^+} \overline{\lambda}(y) a^+(x-y).$$

Hence, Theorem 3.14 is applicable and the averaged (pre-)generator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{L}F)(\gamma^+) = m^+ &\sum_{x\in\gamma^+} e^{E_{\phi^+}(x,\gamma^+\setminus x)} (F(\gamma^+\setminus x) - F(\gamma^+)) \\ &+ \sum_{x\in\gamma^+} \overline{\lambda}(x) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} a^+(x-y)(F(\gamma^+ \cup y) - F(\gamma^+))dy. \end{aligned}$$

Such averaged operator describes a spatial branching process with density dependent death rates. The branching rate $\overline{\lambda}(x)$ is due to the interactions with the environment not spatially homogeneous.

4.4 Two interacting BDLP-models

Suppose that the death rates are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^-(x, \gamma^+, \gamma^-\setminus x) &= m^- + \sum_{y\in\gamma^-\setminus x} a^-(x-y) \\ d^+(x, \gamma^+\setminus x, \gamma^-) &= m^+ + \sum_{y\in\gamma^+\setminus x} b^-(x-y) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^-} \varphi^-(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

The birth rates are assumed to be given by

$$b^-(x,\gamma) = \sum_{y\in\gamma^-} a^+(x-y) + z$$
$$b^+(x,\gamma) = \sum_{y\in\gamma^+} b^+(x-y) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^-} \varphi^+(x-y).$$

Suppose that $z, m^+, m^- > 0$ and $a^\pm, b^\pm, \varphi^\pm$ are non-negative, symmetric and integrable.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that $a^\pm, b^\pm, \varphi^\pm$ are bounded and there exist constants $b_1, b_2 \geq 0$ and $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3 > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{x\in\eta^+}\sum_{y\in\eta^+\setminus x} b^+(x-y) \leq \vartheta_1 \sum_{x\in\eta^+}\sum_{y\in\eta^+\setminus x} b^-(x-y) + b_1|\eta^+|$$
$$\sum_{x\in\eta^-}\sum_{y\in\eta^-\setminus x} a^+(x-y) \leq \vartheta_2 \sum_{x\in\eta^-}\sum_{y\in\eta^-\setminus x} a^-(x-y) + b_2|\eta^-|,$$

and $\varphi^+ \leq \vartheta_3\varphi^-$ hold. Moreover, assume that the parameters satisfy the relations $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_3 < e^{\alpha^+}$, $\vartheta_2 < e^{\alpha^-}$ and,

$$m^+ > e^{\alpha^+}\langle b^-\rangle + e^{\alpha^-}\langle\varphi^-\rangle + e^{-\alpha^+}b_1 + \langle b^+\rangle + \langle\varphi^+\rangle$$
$$m^- > e^{\alpha^-}\langle a^-\rangle + e^{-\alpha^-}(b_2+z) + \langle a^+\rangle.$$

Then for each $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ there exists an evolution of states in $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ to the Fokker-Planck equation. Moreover, the dynamics described by the operator L is ergodic with exponential rate.

Suppose that the conditions given above are fulfilled. The averaged rates are given by

$$\overline{d}(x,\gamma^+) = m^+ + \overline{\varphi}^-(x) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^+\setminus x} b^-(x-y)$$
$$\overline{b}(x,\gamma^+) = \sum_{y\in\gamma^+} b^+(x-y) + \overline{\varphi}^+(x),$$

where $\overline{\varphi}^\pm(x) = \int\limits_{\Gamma^-}\sum\limits_{y\in\gamma^-} \varphi^\pm(x-y)d\mu_{\mathrm{inv}}(\gamma^-)$. Theorem 3.14 is applicable if

$$e^{\alpha^+}\langle b^-\rangle + \left(\overline{\varphi}^+(x) + b_1\right)e^{-\alpha^+} + \langle b^+\rangle < q\left(m^+ + \overline{\varphi}^-(x)\right)$$

holds for a.a. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and some $q \in (0,1)$. The averaged operator is given by

$$(\overline{L}F)(\gamma^+) = \sum_{x\in\gamma^+}\left(m^+ + \overline{\varphi}^-(x) + \sum_{y\in\gamma^+\setminus x} b^-(x-y)\right)(F(\gamma^+\setminus x) - F(\gamma^+))$$
$$+ \sum_{x\in\gamma^+}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^+(x-y)(F(\gamma^+\cup y) - F(\gamma^+))dy + \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}\overline{\varphi}^+(x)(F(\gamma^+\cup x) - F(\gamma^+))dx.$$

It describes the spatial logistic model with additional spatial inhomogeneous death rate $\overline{\varphi}^-$ and immigration rate $\overline{\varphi}^+$. Due to the immigration it follows that the associated evolution of states is ergodic with exponential rate and non-degenerated invariant measure.

5 Appendix

In this section we outline how the results obtained in [Fri17] can be used to prove Lemma 3.8. An extension of the results for two-component birth-and-death processes can be obtained by a straightforward modification of the arguments given below. Suppose that conditions (E0) – (E3) are satisfied. For simplicity of notation below we omit the subscript $-$ for $\gamma^-, \Gamma^-, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}, \mathcal{K}_{\alpha^-}, d^-, b^-$ etc. Moreover we set $\widehat{L} := \widehat{L}^-|_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^-}}$ and $\widehat{T}(t) := \widehat{T}^-_{\alpha^-}(t)$. Let $L^\Delta := \widehat{L}^*$ be the adjoint operator to $\widehat{L}$. Define a linear operator S by $Sk(\varnothing) = 0$ and for $\eta \neq \varnothing$ by $Sk(\eta) = \frac{1}{M(\eta)} L^\Delta k(\eta) + k(\eta)$.

Lemma 5.1. *[FKK12] The equation*

$$L^\Delta k_{\mathrm{inv}} = 0, \quad k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\varnothing) = 1 \tag{5.1}$$

has a unique solution $k_{\mathrm{inv}} \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$. This solution is given by $k_{\mathrm{inv}} = \mathbb{1}^* + (1-S)^{-1} S\mathbb{1}^*$, where

$$S\mathbb{1}^*(\eta) = \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_0^{(1)}}(\eta) \sum_{x \in \eta} \frac{b(x, \varnothing)}{d(x, \varnothing)}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}^0_\alpha := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \mid G = \kappa \mathbb{1}^*, \ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\geq 1}_\alpha := \{G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha \mid G(\varnothing) = 0\}$. Then, $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \mathcal{L}^0_\alpha \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\geq 1}_\alpha$ with projection operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}^* &: \mathcal{L}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^0_\alpha, \quad G \longmapsto G \cdot \mathbb{1}^* \\ 1 - \mathbb{1}^* &: \mathcal{L}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\geq 1}_\alpha, \quad G \longmapsto G \cdot (1 - \mathbb{1}^*). \end{aligned}$$

The next statement shows that $\widehat{L}$ has a spectral gap on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let*

$$\omega_0 := \sup \left\{ \omega \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right] \;\middle|\; a(\alpha) < 1 + \cos(\omega) \right\}, \tag{5.2}$$

then the following statements hold.

1. *The point 0 is an eigenvalue for $(\widehat{L}, D(\widehat{L}))$ with eigenspace $\mathcal{L}^0_\alpha$ and eigenvector $\mathbb{1}^*$.*
2. *Let $\lambda_0 := (2 - a(\alpha)) M_* > 0$. Then,*

$$I_1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Re}(\lambda) > -\lambda_0\} \backslash \{0\}$$

and

$$I_2 := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; |\arg(\lambda)| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega_0 \right\} \backslash \{0\}$$

belong to the resolvent set $\rho(\widehat{L})$ of $\widehat{L}$ on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

Proof. Repeat literally the arguments given in [Fri17]. □

Let $B_0 : \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\alpha^0$, $B_0 G = \mathbb{1}^* BG$, i.e.

$$B_0 G(\eta) = \mathbb{1}^*(\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(\varnothing, x) b(x, \varnothing) dx.$$

The operator $L_{11} G := AG + (1 - \mathbb{1}^*)BG$ on $D(L_{11}) = D(\widehat{L}) \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}$ has range $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}$ and it holds that

$$\widehat{L} G = B_0(1 - \mathbb{1}^*)G + L_{11}(1 - \mathbb{1}^*)G, \quad G \in D(\widehat{L}) \tag{5.3}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\omega = \omega(\varepsilon) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that

$$\Sigma(\varepsilon) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; |\arg(\lambda + \lambda_0 - \varepsilon)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega \right\} \subset I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \{0\}$$

and there exists $M(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\| R(\lambda; L_{11}) G \|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}} \leq \frac{M(\varepsilon)}{|\lambda|} \| G \|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Sigma(\varepsilon) \backslash \{0\}$ (see the proof of Proposition 5.2 as given in [Fri17]). Moreover, $(L_{11}, D(L_{11}))$ is a sectorial operator of angle ω_0 on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}$. Denote by $\widetilde{T}(t)$ the bounded analytic semigroup on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}$ given by

$$\widetilde{T}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\sigma e^{\zeta t} R(\zeta; L_{11}) d\zeta, \quad t > 0, \tag{5.4}$$

where the integral converges in the uniform operator topology, see [Paz83]. Here σ denotes any piecewise smooth curve in

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; |\arg(\lambda)| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega_0 \right\} \backslash \{0\}$$

running from $\infty e^{-i\theta}$ to $\infty e^{i\theta}$ for $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega_0)$.

Consider the projection operators

$$\widehat{P} G(\eta) = \int_{\Gamma_0} G(\xi) k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \mathbb{1}^*(\eta) \tag{5.5}$$

and $\widehat{P}^* k(\eta) = k_{\mathrm{inv}}(\eta) k(\varnothing)$. Clearly, we have $\langle \widehat{P} G, k \rangle = \langle G, \widehat{P}^* k \rangle$. Ergodicity with exponential rate is established below.

Theorem 5.3. *There exists a unique invariant measure $\mu_{\mathrm{inv}} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ with correlation function k_{inv}. Moreover, the following holds.*

1. *The semigroup $\widehat{T}(t)$ is uniformly ergodic with exponential rate and projection operator $\widehat{P}$.*
2. *The adjoint semigroup $\widehat{T}(t)^*$ is uniformly ergodic with exponential rate and projection operator $\widehat{P}^*$.*

Proof. The $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}$ part of $\widehat{T}(t)$ is given by $(1-\mathbb{1}^*)\widehat{T}(t)|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}}$ with generator L_{11}. As a consequence, we obtain $\widetilde{T}(t) = (1-\mathbb{1}^*)\widehat{T}(t)|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}}$. This yields the decomposition

$$\widehat{T}(t) = \mathbb{1}^* + \mathbb{1}^*\widehat{T}(t)(1-\mathbb{1}^*) + \widetilde{T}(t)(1-\mathbb{1}^*), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{5.6}$$

The spectral properties stated above, (5.4), (5.6) and, (5.7) imply that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any $t \geq 0$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$

$$\|(1-\mathbb{1}^*)\widehat{T}(t)(1-\mathbb{1}^*)G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha} = \|\widetilde{T}(t)(1-\mathbb{1}^*)G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\geq 1}} \leq C(\varepsilon)e^{-(\lambda_0-\varepsilon)t}\|G\|_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}.$$

Repeat, e.g., the arguments in [KKM10]. By duality and (5.6), we see that the adjoint semigroup $(\widehat{T}(t)^*)_{t\geq 0}$ admits the decomposition

$$\widehat{T}(t)^* = \mathbb{1}^* + (1-\mathbb{1}^*)\widehat{T}(t)^*\mathbb{1}^* + \widetilde{T}(t)^*(1-\mathbb{1}^*), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\widetilde{T}(t)^* \in L(\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\geq 1})$ is the adjoint semigroup to $(\widetilde{T}(t))_{t\geq 0}$. Hence

$$\|\widehat{T}(t)^*k\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} \leq C(\varepsilon)e^{-(\lambda_0-\varepsilon)t}\|k\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\geq 1}.$$

Let $k \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$, then $k - \widehat{P}^*k \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\geq 1}$. Using $\widehat{T}(t)^*\widehat{P}^* = \widehat{P}^*\widehat{T}(t)^* = \widehat{P}^*$ we obtain

$$\|\widehat{T}(t)^*k - \widehat{P}^*k\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} = \|\widehat{T}(t)^*(k-\widehat{P}^*k)\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} \leq C(\varepsilon)e^{-(\lambda_0-\varepsilon)t}\|k-\widehat{P}^*k\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}. \tag{5.8}$$

This shows that $\widehat{T}(t)^*$ is uniformly ergodic with exponential rate. Duality implies that $\widehat{T}(t)$ is uniformly ergodic with exponential rate. Let $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$, $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ the associated evolution of states and $k_{\mu_t} \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ its correlation function for $t \geq 0$. By

$$\langle G, k_{\mu_t}\rangle = \langle G, \widehat{T}(t)^*k_{\mu_0}\rangle = \langle \widehat{T}(t)G, k_{\mu_0}\rangle \geq 0$$

for any $G \in B_{bs}(\Gamma_0)$ with $KG \geq 0$ and the ergodicity for $\widehat{T}(t)$ we see that k_{inv} is positive definite. Thus, there exists a unique measure $\mu_{\text{inv}} \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ having k_{inv} as its correlation function. □

Using the relation $\widehat{T}(t)^*k_{\mu_0} = k_{\mu_t}$ we get the following.

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose that the same conditions as in Theorem 5.3 are satisfied. For all $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ let $(\mu_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the unique solution to the Fokker-Planck equation. Then*

$$\|k_{\mu_t} - k_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha} \leq Ce^{-at}\|k_{\mu_0} - k_{\mu_{\text{inv}}}\|_{\mathcal{K}_\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0$$

holds for some constants $C, a > 0$ independent of k_0.

Acknowledgments

Martin Friesen gratefully acknowledges the financial support through CRC701, project A5, at Bielefeld University.

References

[BA06] J. Banasiak and L. Arlotti. *Perturbations of positive semigroups with applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2006.

[BCF+14] B. Bolker, S. Cornell, D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, and O. Ovaskainen. A general mathematical framework for the analysis of spatio-temporal point processes. *Theoretical Ecology*, 7(1):101–113, 2014.

[BKK15] C. Berns, Y. Kondratiev, and O. Kutoviy. Markov jump dynamics with additive intensities in continuum: state evolution and mesoscopic scaling. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 161(4):876–901, 2015.

[EK86] S. Ethier and T. Kurtz. *Markov processes*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986. Characterization and convergence.

[FFH+15] D. Finkelshtein, M. Friesen, H. Hatzikirou, Y. Kondratiev, T. Krüger, and O. Kutoviy. Stochastic models of tumour development and related mesoscopic equations. *Inter. Stud. Comp. Sys.*, 7:5–85, 2015.

[Fin11] D. Finkelshtein. Measures on two-component configuration spaces. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 17(4):300–318, 2011.

[Fin13] D. Finkel'shtein. On convolutions on configuration spaces. II. Spaces of locally finite configurations. *Ukrainian Math. J.*, 64(12):1919–1944, 2013.

[FK16a] M. Friesen and Y. Kondratiev. Weak-coupling limit for ergodic environments. *Preprint: bibos.math.uni-bielefeld.de/preprints/16-08-509.pdf*, (submitted), 2016.

[FK16b] Martin Friesen and Oleksandr Kutoviy. Evolution of states and mesoscopic scaling for two-component birth-and-death dynamics in continuum. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 22(4):346–374, 2016.

[FKK12] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, and O. Kutoviy. Semigroup approach to birth-and-death stochastic dynamics in continuum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 262(3):1274–1308, 2012.

[FKK15] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, and O. Kutoviy. Statistical dynamics of continuous systems: perturbative and approximative approaches. *Arab. J. Math. (Springer)*, 4(4):255–300, 2015.

[FKKO15] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, and M. J. Oliveira. Dynamical Widom-Rowlinson model and its mesoscopic limit. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 158(1):57–86, 2015.

[FKO09] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev, and M. J. Oliveira. Markov evolutions and hierarchical equations in the continuum. I. One-component systems. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 9(2):197–233, 2009.

[FM04] N. Fournier and S. Méléard. A microscopic probabilistic description of a locally regulated population and macroscopic approximations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(4):1880–1919, 2004.

[Fri16] M. Friesen. Non-autonomous interacting particle systems in continuum. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, 22(3):220–244, 2016.

[Fri17] M. Friesen. Non-equilibrium Dynamics for a Widom–Rowlinson Type Model with Mutations. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 166(2):317–353, 2017.

[GK06] N. L. Garcia and T. G. Kurtz. Spatial birth and death processes as solutions of stochastic equations. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 1:281–303, 2006.

[KK02] Y. Kondratiev and T. Kuna. Harmonic analysis on configuration space. I. General theory. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(2):201–233, 2002.

[KK06] Y. Kondratiev and O. Kutoviy. On the metrical properties of the configuration space. *Math. Nachr.*, 279(7):774–783, 2006.

[KK16] Y. Kondratiev and Y. Kozitsky. The evolution of states in a spatial population model. *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.*, 28(1):1–39, 2016.

[KKM08] Y. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, and R. Minlos. On non-equilibrium stochastic dynamics for interacting particle systems in continuum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(1):200–227, 2008.

[KKM10] Y. Kondratiev, O. Kutoviy, and R. Minlos. Ergodicity of non-equilibrium Glauber dynamics in continuum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(9):3097–3116, 2010.

[Kol06] V. N. Kolokoltsov. Kinetic equations for the pure jump models of k-nary interacting particle systems. *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 12(1):95–138, 2006.

[Koz15] Y. Kozitsky. Dynamics of spatial logistic model: finite systems. In *Semigroups of operators—theory and applications*, volume 113 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 197–211. Springer, Cham, 2015.

[KS06] Y. Kondratiev and A. Skorokhod. On contact processes in continuum. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 9(2):187–198, 2006.

[Kur73] T. G. Kurtz. A limit theorem for perturbed operator semigroups with applications to random evolutions. *J. Functional Analysis*, 12:55–67, 1973.

[Kur92] T. G. Kurtz. Averaging for martingale problems and stochastic approximation. In *Applied stochastic analysis (New Brunswick, NJ, 1991)*, volume 177 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.*, pages 186–209. Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[Len73] A. Lenard. Correlation functions and the uniqueness of the state in classical statistical mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 30:35–44, 1973.

[Len75] A. Lenard. States of classical statistical mechanical systems of infinitely many particles. II. Characterization of correlation measures. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 59(3):241–256, 1975.

[Paz83] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 44 of *Applied mathematical sciences ; 44*. Springer, New York [u.a.], 2., corr. print edition, 1983.

[Pin91] M. A. Pinsky. *Lectures on random evolution*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1991.

[Rya02] R. A. Ryan. *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002.

[SEW05] D. Steinsaltz, S. N. Evans, and K. W. Wachter. A generalized model of mutation-selection balance with applications to aging. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 35(1):16–33, 2005.

[SHS02] A. Skorokhod, F. Hoppensteadt, and H. Salehi. *Random Perturbation Methods with Applications in Science and Engineering*. Springer, 2002.

[Spo88] H. Spohn. Kinetic equations from Hamiltonian dynamics: the Markovian approximations. In *Kinetic theory and gas dynamics*, volume 293 of *CISM Courses and Lectures*, pages 183–211. Springer, Vienna, 1988.

[TV06] H. R. Thieme and J. Voigt. Stochastic semigroups: their construction by perturbation and approximation. In *Positivity IV—theory and applications*, pages 135–146. Tech. Univ. Dresden, Dresden, 2006.